

# RANDWICK + BOTANY

A modest size council with some common interests including Port Botany, though the option does not meet the requirements of the Government's Fit for the Future program.



**No increase in rates<sup>†</sup>**



**Significant long-term cost savings<sup>#</sup>**



**Protects local eastern suburbs identity**



**Same level of services provided**

**185,602**

population

**230,350**

estimated 2031 population

**844**

council staff

**220**

residents per staff

**12,373**

residents per Councillor\*

**\$62M**

residential rates

**\$30M**

business rates

**\$981**

council's cost per resident

**51m<sup>2</sup>**

green space per resident

**\$90M<sup>#</sup>**

projected savings over 10 years



**“KEY TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE SUCH AS AIRPORTS AND PORTS, SHOULD BE WITHIN THE SAME LGA”**

- Case for Sustainable Change, ILGRP report, Nov 2012, pg 29.

## Benefits

- No increase in rates for Randwick residents
- No increase in waste charges for Randwick residents
- Maintained operations and services
- Greater funding available for capital works projects
- \$90M in cost savings over 10 years
- Debt-free council

## Costs

- Not consistent with State Government's scale and capacity requirements
- Fewer Councillors for local representation
- Initial and short-term disruptions
- Loss of Randwick identity

\* Based on the current maximum number of Councillors allowed - 15

# Based on data publicly available.

† As a result of amalgamation